DAN BUT-TON, INDIANA, CHAIRMAN

DAVID VITTER LOUISIANA

BENJAMIN A GILMAN NEW YORK
CONSTANCE A MORELLA MARYLAND
CHRISTOPHER SHAYS. CONNECTICUT
ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN FLORIDA
JOHN M. MCHUGH. NEW YORK
STEPHEN HORN. CALIFORNIA
JHN I. MICA. FLORIDA
HOMAS M. DAVIS III. VIRGINIA
DAVID M. MCINTOSH. INDIANA
MARK E SOUDER. INDIANA
JOE SCARBOROUGH. FLORIDA
STEVEN C. LATOURETTE OHIO
MARSHALL "MARK" SANFORD. SOUTH CAROLINA
BOB BARFI. GEORGIA
DAN MILLER. FLORIDA
ASA HUTCHINSON. ARKANSAS
LEE TERRY. NEBRASKA
JUDY BIGGERT. ILLINOIS
GREG WALDEN. OREGON
DOUG OSE. CALIFORNIA
PAUL RYAN. WISCONSIN
HELEN CHENOWETH. IDAHO

ONE HUNDRED SIXTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

> MAJORITY (202) 225–5074 MINORITY (202) 225–5051 TTY (202) 225–6852

October 8, 1999

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA

TOM LANTOS. CALIFORNIA
ROBERT E WISE JR WEST VIRGINIA
MAJOR R OWENS NEW YORK
EDOLPHUS TOWNS. NEW YORK
PAUL E KANJORSKI PENNSYLVANIA
PATSY T MINK, HAWAII
CAROLYN B MALONEY. NEW YORK
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CHAKA FATTAH, PENNSYLVANIA
ELUAH E CUMMINGS MARYLAND
DENNIS J KUCINICH. OHIO
ROO R. BLAGOJEVICH ILLIMOIS
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
JOHN F TIERNEY. MASSACHUSETTS
JIM TURNER, TEXAS
THOMAS H ALLEN MAINE
HAROLD E FORD. JR TENNESSEE
JANICE D SCHAKOWSKY ILLINOIS

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT, INDEPENDENT

BY FACSIMILE

The Honorable Carol M. Browner Administrator Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

Dear Administrator Browner:

I am concerned about the process being followed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for its proposed major rule to limit emissions of heavy duty engines. EPA issued a press release about this proposed rule on October 6, 1999, which resulted in a story in the October 7th Washington Post. However, this proposed rule has not yet been published in the Federal Register. The Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) website reveals that, under Executive Order 12866, OMB did not review this proposed rule in the last 30 days. Does the version already posted on EPA's website reflect comments by OMB and edits by the Office of the Federal Register (OFR)? If not, why not?

EPA's website version reveals that EPA is intending to have a 30-day only public comment period instead of the usual 60-day or 90-day public comment period for proposed major rules, and that EPA is intending to hold only one public hearing. Given the importance, expense and shear complexity of the proposal to limit emissions from all heavy duty engines, I believe that both the comment period and number of hearings are insufficient and unacceptable.

Pursuant to the Constitution and Rules X and XI of the United States House of Representatives, please provide the Subcommittee with the following information: (a) details about the timing of the OMB and OFR review processes for this proposed major rule, including when each review began and concluded, and (b) EPA's reasons for limiting public review and comment for this proposed major rule. Please provide a written response by noon, Monday, October 18, 1999.

If you have any questions about this request, please call Professional Staff Member Joel Bucher at (202) 225-4407.

Thank you for your attention to this request.

Sincerely,

David M. McIntosh

Chairman

Subcommittee on National Economic Growth, Natural Resources and Regulatory Affairs

cc: The Honorable Dan Burton
The Honorable Dennis Kucinich